THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO

And

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT APPEAL NO.2292 of 2005

-

29th November 2005

(Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the Order dated 18.10.2005 in Review W.P.M.P.No.15263 of 2005 in W.P.M.P.No.7660 of 2005 in W.P.NO.1844 OF 2004 on the file of the High Court.)

Between:

Karnataka Antibiotics & Pharmaceuticals Limited, a Govt. of India Enterprise having its Registered & Corporate Office at 80 Feet Road, 1st Block, Rajajinagar, Bangalore-560 010 rep., by its Manager-Marketing , Mr.P.Seshagiri Rao.

...APPELLANT

AND

1 The Presiding Officer, Addl.Industrial Tribunal-cum- Addl.Labour Court, 1st Floor, Chandravihar, M.J.Market Road, Hyderabad-500 001.
2 M.Prabhakar, s/o K.K.Nair,
r/o H.No.11-3-385, Srinivasanagar, Secunderabad-500 361.
3 The Officer on Special Duty, Labour, Employment, Training & Factories (Lab.I) Department, Government Secretariat, Hyderabad.
4 The Commissioner of Labour, Andhra Pradesh,
T.Anjaiah Karmika Bhavan, RTC 'X' Roads, Hyderabad-500 020.

...RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO

And

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT APPEAL NO.2292 of 2005

JUDGMENT: (Per the Hon'ble Mr Justice B.Prakash Rao)

Heard both the sides.

The appellant herein is the writ petitioner, who seeks to assail the order dated 18.10.2005 in Review W.P.M.P.No.15263 of 2005 in W.P.M.P.No.7660 of 2005 in W.P.No.1844 of 2004, rejecting an application under review of an interim order dated 29.04.2005 in W.P.M.P.No.7660 of 2005 filed by the second respondent/employee.

Though several contentions sought to be urged on merits and the fact that earlier similar such application was directed to be taken up in the main Writ Petition, the fact remains that the order dated 29.04.2005, which was sought to be reviewed, is only an interim order. It is always open for the appellant herein to file an appropriate comprehensive application seeking relief or to vacate the same on merits. Having regard to the said fact, we do not find any justification in the appeal, nor there is any error apparent on the face of the record.

Accordingly, the Writ Appeal is dismissed. No costs.

-

_____

(B.PRAKASH RAO, J)

_____

(R.SUBHASH REDDY, J)

29th November 2005

RRB

-

-